

Affine Nil-Hecke algebras

Eirini Chavli - Tuong-Huy Nguyen

Université Paris Diderot - Université Montpellier 2

17 June 2014

The symmetric group

Let $n \geq 1$.

The symmetric group

Let $n \geq 1$.

We symbolise by S_n the symmetric group on a finite set of n symbols.

The symmetric group

Let $n \geq 1$.

We symbolise by S_n the symmetric group on a finite set of n symbols.

Given $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we put $s_i = (i, i+1) \in S_n$.

The symmetric group

Let $n \geq 1$.

We symbolise by S_n the symmetric group on a finite set of n symbols.

Given $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we put $s_i = (i, i+1) \in S_n$.

Proposition

The group S_n admits a presentation with generators

$$s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$$

and relations

$$s_i^2 = 1,$$

$$s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1},$$

$$s_i s_j = s_j s_i, \text{ for } |i - j| > 1$$

The symmetric group

Let $w \in S_n$. Then, $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$, where $i_j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$

The symmetric group

Let $w \in S_n$. Then, $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$, where $i_j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$

Definition

The minimal integer k such that there is an expression of the above form is called the length of w and we symbolise it by $\ell(w)$.

The symmetric group

Let $w \in S_n$. Then, $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$, where $i_j \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$

Definition

The minimal integer k such that there is an expression of the above form is called the length of w and we symbolise it by $\ell(w)$. Such an expression is called a reduced decomposition of w .

The symmetric group

Let $w \in S_n$. Then, $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$, where $i_j \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$

Definition

The minimal integer k such that there is an expression of the above form is called the length of w and we symbolise it by $\ell(w)$. Such an expression is called a reduced decomposition of w .

Examples:

The symmetric group

Let $w \in S_n$. Then, $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$, where $i_j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$

Definition

The minimal integer k such that there is an expression of the above form is called the length of w and we symbolise it by $\ell(w)$. Such an expression is called a reduced decomposition of w .

Examples:

- Let $w = s_1 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_2 \in S_4$.

The symmetric group

Let $w \in S_n$. Then, $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$, where $i_j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$

Definition

The minimal integer k such that there is an expression of the above form is called the length of w and we symbolise it by $\ell(w)$. Such an expression is called a reduced decomposition of w .

Examples:

- Let $w = s_1 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_2 \in S_4$.
Then $\ell(w) = 3$, since $w = s_3 s_1^2 s_2 s_1 = s_3 s_2 s_1$.

The symmetric group

Let $w \in S_n$. Then, $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$, where $i_j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$

Definition

The minimal integer k such that there is an expression of the above form is called the length of w and we symbolise it by $\ell(w)$. Such an expression is called a reduced decomposition of w .

Examples:

- Let $w = s_1 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_2 \in S_4$.
Then $\ell(w) = 3$, since $w = s_3 s_1^2 s_2 s_1 = s_3 s_2 s_1$.
- Let $w = s_1 s_3$. Then $\ell(w) = 2$ and a reduced decomposition is $s_1 s_3$.

The symmetric group

Let $w \in S_n$. Then, $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$, where $i_j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$

Definition

The minimal integer k such that there is an expression of the above form is called the length of w and we symbolise it by $\ell(w)$. Such an expression is called a reduced decomposition of w .

Examples:

- Let $w = s_1 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_2 \in S_4$.
Then $\ell(w) = 3$, since $w = s_3 s_1^2 s_2 s_1 = s_3 s_2 s_1$.
- Let $w = s_1 s_3$. Then $\ell(w) = 2$ and a reduced decomposition is $s_1 s_3$.

Mazumoto's Lemma

Let a a reduced decomposition of w . Then all reduced decompositions of w can be obtained from a by applying $s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$ and $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$, for $|i - j| > 1$

The symmetric group

Let $w \in S_n$. Then, $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$, where $i_j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$

Definition

The minimal integer k such that there is an expression of the above form is called the length of w and we symbolise it by $\ell(w)$. Such an expression is called a reduced decomposition of w .

Examples:

- Let $w = s_1 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_2 \in S_4$.
Then $\ell(w) = 3$, since $w = s_3 s_1^2 s_2 s_1 = s_3 s_2 s_1$.
- Let $w = s_1 s_3$. Then $\ell(w) = 2$ and a reduced decomposition is $s_1 s_3$.

Mazumoto's Lemma

Let a a reduced decomposition of w . Then all reduced decompositions of w can be obtained from a by applying $s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$ and $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$, for $|i - j| > 1$ (We don't need $s_i^2 = 1$).

The symmetric group

Some remarks:

The symmetric group

Some remarks:

- $\ell(w) = \ell(w^{-1})$

The symmetric group

Some remarks:

- $\ell(w) = \ell(w^{-1})$
- $\ell(w) = 1 \Leftrightarrow w \in \{s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$

The symmetric group

Some remarks:

- $\ell(w) = \ell(w^{-1})$
- $\ell(w) = 1 \Leftrightarrow w \in \{s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$
- $\ell(w) = 0 \Leftrightarrow w = 1$

The symmetric group

Some remarks:

- $\ell(w) = \ell(w^{-1})$
- $\ell(w) = 1 \Leftrightarrow w \in \{s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$
- $\ell(w) = 0 \Leftrightarrow w = 1$

Proposition

Let $R_w = \{(i, j) \mid i < j \text{ and } w(i) > w(j)\}$. Then, $\ell(w) = |R_w|$.

The symmetric group

Some remarks:

- $\ell(w) = \ell(w^{-1})$
- $\ell(w) = 1 \Leftrightarrow w \in \{s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$
- $\ell(w) = 0 \Leftrightarrow w = 1$

Proposition

Let $R_w = \{(i, j) \mid i < j \text{ and } w(i) > w(j)\}$. Then, $\ell(w) = |R_w|$.

- The element $w_0 := (1, n)(2, n-1)(3, n-2)\dots$ is the unique element of S_n with maximal length $\ell(w_0) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

The Hecke algebra of the symmetric group

Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[u_1, u_2]$.

The Hecke algebra of the symmetric group

Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[u_1, u_2]$.

Definition

The Hecke algebra H_n^f of S_n is the R -algebra with generators

$$T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$$

and relations

$$(T_i - u_1)(T_i - u_2) = 0,$$

$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1},$$

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i, \text{ for } |i - j| > 1$$

The Hecke algebra of the symmetric group

Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[u_1, u_2]$.

Definition

The Hecke algebra H_n^f of S_n is the R -algebra with generators

$$T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$$

and relations

$$(T_i - u_1)(T_i - u_2) = 0,$$

$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1},$$

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i, \text{ for } |i - j| > 1$$

The Hecke algebra of the symmetric group

Let $w \in S_n$ with a reduced decomposition $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$.

The Hecke algebra of the symmetric group

Let $w \in S_n$ with a reduced decomposition $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$.

We put $T_w = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_k} \in H_n^f$, which is independent of the choice of a reduced decomposition of w , by Matsumoto's lemma.

The Hecke algebra of the symmetric group

Let $w \in S_n$ with a reduced decomposition $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$.

We put $T_w = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_k} \in H_n^f$, which is independent of the choice of a reduced decomposition of w , by Matsumoto's lemma.

Theorem (Iwahori)

The Hecke algebra of S_n is a free R -module with basis $\{T_w\}_{w \in S_n}$.

The Hecke algebra of the symmetric group

Let $w \in S_n$ with a reduced decomposition $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$.

We put $T_w = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_k} \in H_n^f$, which is independent of the choice of a reduced decomposition of w , by Matsumoto's lemma.

Theorem (Iwahori)

The Hecke algebra of S_n is a free R -module with basis $\{T_w\}_{w \in S_n}$.

Remarks:

The Hecke algebra of the symmetric group

Let $w \in S_n$ with a reduced decomposition $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$.

We put $T_w = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_k} \in H_n^f$, which is independent of the choice of a reduced decomposition of w , by Matsumoto's lemma.

Theorem (Iwahori)

The Hecke algebra of S_n is a free R -module with basis $\{T_w\}_{w \in S_n}$.

Remarks:

- Under the specialization $u_1 = 1, u_2 = -1$, we take the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$, where the element T_w becomes the group element w .

The Hecke algebra of the symmetric group

Let $w \in S_n$ with a reduced decomposition $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$.

We put $T_w = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_k} \in H_n^f$, which is independent of the choice of a reduced decomposition of w , by Matsumoto's lemma.

Theorem (Iwahori)

The Hecke algebra of S_n is a free R -module with basis $\{T_w\}_{w \in S_n}$.

Remarks:

- Under the specialization $u_1 = 1, u_2 = -1$, we take the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$, where the element T_w becomes the group element w .
- Given $w, w' \in S_n$ with $\ell(w w') = \ell(w) + \ell(w')$ we have $T_w T_{w'} = T_{w w'}$.

The nil Hecke algebra of type A

Definition

The nil-Hecke algebra ${}^0H_n^f$ of type A is the \mathbb{Z} -algebra with generators

$$T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$$

and relations

$$T_i^2 = 0,$$

$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1},$$

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i, \text{ for } |i - j| > 1$$

The nil Hecke algebra of type A

Definition

The nil-Hecke algebra ${}^0H_n^f$ of type A is the \mathbb{Z} -algebra with generators

$$T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$$

and relations

$$T_i^2 = 0,$$

$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1},$$

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i, \text{ for } |i - j| > 1$$

Remark:

The nil Hecke algebra of type A

Definition

The nil-Hecke algebra ${}^0H_n^f$ of type A is the \mathbb{Z} -algebra with generators

$$T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$$

and relations

$$T_i^2 = 0,$$

$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1},$$

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i, \text{ for } |i - j| > 1$$

Remark:

The ${}^0H_n^f$ algebra is the algebra H_n^f under the specialization $u_1 = u_2 = 0$.

The nil-Hecke algebra of type A

Given $w, w' \in S_n$ we have:

$$T_w T_{w'} = \begin{cases} T_{ww'} & \text{if } \ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w') \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The nil-Hecke algebra of type A

Given $w, w' \in S_n$ we have:

$$T_w T_{w'} = \begin{cases} T_{ww'} & \text{if } \ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w') \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So, the algebra ${}^0H_n^f$ is graded, if we fix $\deg T_i = -2$.

The nil-Hecke algebra of type A

Given $w, w' \in S_n$ we have:

$$T_w T_{w'} = \begin{cases} T_{ww'} & \text{if } \ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w') \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So, the algebra ${}^0H_n^f$ is graded, if we fix $\deg T_i = -2$.

Or we can say that $\deg T_w = -2\ell(w)$.

The nil-Hecke algebra of type A

Given $w, w' \in S_n$ we have:

$$T_w T_{w'} = \begin{cases} T_{ww'} & \text{if } \ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w') \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So, the algebra ${}^0H_n^f$ is graded, if we fix $\deg T_i = -2$.

Or we can say that $\deg T_w = -2\ell(w)$.

We have ${}^0H_n^f = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} ({}^0H_n^f)_i$, where

$$({}^0H_n^f)_i = \bigoplus_{w \in S_n, \ell(w) = -i/2} \mathbb{Z} T_w.$$

The nil-Hecke algebra of type A

Given $w, w' \in S_n$ we have:

$$T_w T_{w'} = \begin{cases} T_{ww'} & \text{if } \ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w') \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So, the algebra ${}^0H_n^f$ is graded, if we fix $\deg T_i = -2$.

Or we can say that $\deg T_w = -2\ell(w)$.

We have ${}^0H_n^f = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} ({}^0H_n^f)_i$, where

$$({}^0H_n^f)_i = \bigoplus_{w \in S_n, \ell(w) = -i/2} \mathbb{Z} T_w.$$

So, $({}^0H_n^f)_i = 0$ unless $i \in \{0, -2, \dots, -n(n-1)\}$.

The nil-Hecke algebra of type A

Given $w, w' \in S_n$ we have:

$$T_w T_{w'} = \begin{cases} T_{ww'} & \text{if } \ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w') \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So, the algebra ${}^0H_n^f$ is graded, if we fix $\deg T_i = -2$.

Or we can say that $\deg T_w = -2\ell(w)$.

We have ${}^0H_n^f = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} ({}^0H_n^f)_i$, where

$$({}^0H_n^f)_i = \bigoplus_{w \in S_n, \ell(w) = -i/2} \mathbb{Z} T_w.$$

So, $({}^0H_n^f)_i = 0$ unless $i \in \{0, -2, \dots, -n(n-1)\}$.

Example: The case of ${}^0H_3^f$.

The nil-Hecke algebra of type A

Given $w, w' \in S_n$ we have:

$$T_w T_{w'} = \begin{cases} T_{ww'} & \text{if } \ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w') \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So, the algebra ${}^0H_n^f$ is graded, if we fix $\deg T_i = -2$.

Or we can say that $\deg T_w = -2\ell(w)$.

We have ${}^0H_n^f = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} ({}^0H_n^f)_i$, where

$$({}^0H_n^f)_i = \bigoplus_{w \in S_n, \ell(w) = -i/2} \mathbb{Z} T_w.$$

So, $({}^0H_n^f)_i = 0$ unless $i \in \{0, -2, \dots, -n(n-1)\}$.

Example: The case of ${}^0H_3^f$.

See blackboard!

The affine nil-Hecke algebra of type A

Definition

The affine nil Hecke algebra 0H_n of type A is the \mathbb{Z} -algebra with generators

$$T_1, \dots, T_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad X_1, \dots, X_n$$

and relations

$$T_i^2 = 0, \quad T_i T_j = T_j T_i \text{ for } |i - j| > 1,$$
$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}.$$

The affine nil-Hecke algebra of type A

Definition

The affine nil Hecke algebra 0H_n of type A is the \mathbb{Z} -algebra with generators

$$T_1, \dots, T_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad X_1, \dots, X_n$$

and relations

$$T_i^2 = 0, \quad T_i T_j = T_j T_i \text{ for } |i - j| > 1,$$

$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}.$$

$$X_i X_j = X_j X_i,$$

$$T_i X_j = X_j T_i \text{ if } j - i \neq 0, 1,$$

$$T_i X_{i+1} - X_i T_i = 1 \quad T_i X_i - X_{i+1} T_i = -1.$$

The affine nil-Hecke algebra of type A

Definition

The affine nil Hecke algebra 0H_n of type A is the \mathbb{Z} -algebra with generators

$$T_1, \dots, T_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad X_1, \dots, X_n$$

and relations

$$T_i^2 = 0, \quad T_i T_j = T_j T_i \text{ for } |i - j| > 1,$$

$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}.$$

$$X_i X_j = X_j X_i,$$

$$T_i X_j = X_j T_i \text{ if } j - i \neq 0, 1,$$

$$T_i X_{i+1} - X_i T_i = 1 \quad T_i X_i - X_{i+1} T_i = -1.$$

It is a graded algebra with $\deg T_i = -2$ and $\deg X_i = 2$.

Demazure operators

Let $P_n = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and we let S_n act on P_n by permutation of the X_i 's.

Demazure operators

Let $P_n = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and we let S_n act on P_n by permutation of the X_i 's. We define an endomorphism of abelian groups $\partial_i \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_n)$ by

Demazure operators

Let $P_n = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and we let S_n act on P_n by permutation of the X_i 's. We define an endomorphism of abelian groups $\partial_i \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_n)$ by

$$\partial_i(P) = \frac{P - s_i(P)}{X_{i+1} - X_i}.$$

Demazure operators

Let $P_n = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and we let S_n act on P_n by permutation of the X_i 's. We define an endomorphism of abelian groups $\partial_i \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_n)$ by

$$\partial_i(P) = \frac{P - s_i(P)}{X_{i+1} - X_i}.$$

∂_i is well-defined and is $P_n^{S_n}$ -linear.

Demazure operators

Let $P_n = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and we let S_n act on P_n by permutation of the X_i 's. We define an endomorphism of abelian groups $\partial_i \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_n)$ by

$$\partial_i(P) = \frac{P - s_i(P)}{X_{i+1} - X_i}.$$

∂_i is well-defined and is $P_n^{S_n}$ -linear.

Lemma

We have

$$\partial_i^2 = 0, \partial_i \partial_{i+1} \partial_i = \partial_{i+1} \partial_i \partial_{i+1} \text{ and } \partial_i \partial_j = \partial_j \partial_i, \text{ for } |i - j| > 1.$$

Proposition

We obtain a representation of the nil Hecke algebra ${}^0H_n^f$ on P_n with the assignment

$$T_i \cdot P = \partial_i(P).$$

Given $w \in S_n$, denote ∂_w the image of T_w in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_n)$.

Representation of ${}^0H_n^f$ and 0H_n

Proposition

We obtain a representation of the nil Hecke algebra ${}^0H_n^f$ on P_n with the assignment

$$T_i \cdot P = \partial_i(P).$$

Given $w \in S_n$, denote ∂_w the image of T_w in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_n)$.

Proposition

We obtain a representation ρ of the affine nil Hecke algebra 0H_n on P_n with the assignment

$$\rho(T_i)(P) = \partial_i(P), \quad \rho(X_i)(P) = X_i P.$$

Representation of ${}^0H_n^f$ and 0H_n

Proposition

We obtain a representation of the nil Hecke algebra ${}^0H_n^f$ on P_n with the assignment

$$T_i \cdot P = \partial_i(P).$$

Given $w \in S_n$, denote ∂_w the image of T_w in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_n)$.

Proposition

We obtain a representation ρ of the affine nil Hecke algebra 0H_n on P_n with the assignment

$$\rho(T_i)(P) = \partial_i(P), \quad \rho(X_i)(P) = X_i P.$$

Remark : This representation is compatible with the gradings. If $h_k \in ({}^0H_n)_k$ then

$$\rho(h_k)((P_n)_i) \subset (P_n)_{i+k}.$$

Proposition

This representation ρ of 0H_n on P_n is faithful and we have a decomposition as \mathbb{Z} -modules :

$${}^0H_n = P_n \otimes {}^0H_n^f.$$

Representation of 0H_n

Proposition

This representation ρ of 0H_n on P_n is faithful and we have a decomposition as \mathbb{Z} -modules :

$${}^0H_n = P_n \otimes {}^0H_n^f.$$

Let $a := \sum_w P_w T_w \in {}^0H_n$ ($a \neq 0$), with $\{P_w\}_{w \in S_n}$ a family in P_n . We will find an element h of 0H_n s.t. $\rho(a)(h) \neq 0$.

- 1 Take w_1 of minimal length s.t. $P_{w_1} \neq 0$, then by nice properties of the longest element w_0 :

$$a T_{w_1^{-1}w_0} = \left(\sum_w P_w T_w \right) T_{w_1^{-1}w_0} = P_{w_1} T_{w_0}.$$

- 2 Compute the action of this element on $h := X_2 X_3^2 \cdots X_n^{n-1}$, we get

$$\rho(a)(\partial_{w_1^{-1}w_0}(X_2 X_3^2 \cdots X_n^{n-1})) = P_{w_1} \neq 0.$$

Description of 0H_n as a matrix ring over $P_n^{S_n}$

Theorem (Bernstein, Zelevinsky, Lusztig)

The center of the affine nil Hecke algebra is the ring of symmetric polynomials in X_1, \dots, X_n : $Z({}^0H_n) = P_n^{S_n}$.

Description of 0H_n as a matrix ring over $P_n^{S_n}$

Theorem (Bernstein, Zelevinsky, Lusztig)

The center of the affine nil Hecke algebra is the ring of symmetric polynomials in X_1, \dots, X_n : $Z({}^0H_n) = P_n^{S_n}$.

General fact : from the morphism $\rho : {}^0H_n \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_n)$ we get a morphism of $Z({}^0H_n)$ -algebras:

$${}^0H_n \rightarrow \text{End}_{Z({}^0H_n)}(P_n).$$

Description of 0H_n as a matrix ring over $P_n^{S_n}$

Theorem (Bernstein, Zelevinsky, Lusztig)

The center of the affine nil Hecke algebra is the ring of symmetric polynomials in X_1, \dots, X_n : $Z({}^0H_n) = P_n^{S_n}$.

General fact : from the morphism $\rho : {}^0H_n \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_n)$ we get a morphism of $Z({}^0H_n)$ -algebras:

$${}^0H_n \rightarrow \text{End}_{Z({}^0H_n)}(P_n).$$

Proposition

The previous morphism is an isomorphism of $P_n^{S_n}$ -algebras :

$${}^0H_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{P_n^{S_n}}(P_n).$$

Description of 0H_n as a matrix ring over $P_n^{S_n}$

Proposition

The previous morphism is an isomorphism of $P_n^{S_n}$ -algebras :

$${}^0H_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{P_n^{S_n}}(P_n).$$

Sketch of proof for the isomorphism :

- 1 P_n is a progenerator as a 0H_n -module (f.g. projective 0H_n -module and 0H_n is direct summand of a multiple of P_n as a 0H_n -module),
- 2 ${}^0H_n \longrightarrow \text{End}_{P_n^{S_n}}(P_n)$ is a split injection of $P_n^{S_n}$ -modules,
- 3 0H_n is a free $P_n^{S_n}$ -module of rank $(n!)^2$.

Description of 0H_n as a matrix ring over $P_n^{S_n}$

Proposition

The previous morphism is an isomorphism of $P_n^{S_n}$ -algebras :

$${}^0H_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{P_n^{S_n}}(P_n).$$

Sketch of proof for the isomorphism :

- 1 P_n is a progenerator as a 0H_n -module (f.g. projective 0H_n -module and 0H_n is direct summand of a multiple of P_n as a 0H_n -module),
- 2 ${}^0H_n \longrightarrow \text{End}_{P_n^{S_n}}(P_n)$ is a split injection of $P_n^{S_n}$ -modules,
- 3 0H_n is a free $P_n^{S_n}$ -module of rank $(n!)^2$.

Description of 0H_n as a matrix ring

Since P_n is a free $P_n^{S_n}$ -module of rank $n!$, the algebra 0H_n is isomorphic to a $(n! \times n!)$ -matrix algebra over $P_n^{S_n}$.